Questions to be Addressed in Self-Study

The CAA has developed a series of questions that might be asked during a self-study and are offered below only as suggestions to facilitate a program’s preliminary discussions of self-study in relation to its compliance with the Standards for Accreditation.

A. Administration
1. What is the administrative structure of the program?
2. What are the lines of authority? What is the allocation of responsibility?
3. How stable is the administrative structure and program support? Is the administrative structure functional?
4. What is the budget support for the program? What foreseeable changes may occur in budget support?
5. What budget support is provided for salaries, equipment, maintenance, and library?
6. How dependent is the program on soft money? Is administrative support adequate to continue a quality educational service?
7. How does the administration assure instructional staff of opportunities for salary and rank increases?
8. Does administration policy permit structuring of reasonable workload? If not, how can this be corrected?
9. What is the program’s status within the institution? Does the program have adequate communication with the administration of the institution? If not, how can this be improved?
10. To what extent does the program’s instructional staff have the responsibility for designing, approving, and evaluating the curriculum?
11. Do all the instructional staff share in the decision-making activities of the program? If not, why not?
12. Is the difference between disagreement and dissension recognized in the program? When dissension exists, how can this be converted to a constructive activity in program development?
13. Does the institution’s administration understand the unique goals of the program? If not, why not?

B. Curriculum
1. Is the course of study described in terms of course content?
2. Is sufficient course work provided to meet program objectives? For example, does the course work permit students to meet qualifications for ASHA’s Certificate of Clinical Competence, state licensure, state and/or local department of education certification, and state and/or local department of health qualifications?
3. Are courses offered frequently enough to permit a student sufficient opportunity to obtain qualifications as described in B.2 above?
4. Is the course work sequenced to provide maximum educational growth?
5. How does the program ensure that each student follows the appropriate curricular sequence?
6. Does the curricular sequence move from courses on normal processes to classes on communication disorders? If not, why not?
7. Are courses taught by faculty/instructional staff with appropriate academic and experiential background? If not, what steps are planned to correct this situation?
8. Is the program adequately planned in terms of length, timing, progressive specialization, and availability of advanced courses and seminars? Is any future restructuring planned?
9. Is the graduate program clearly identifiable and qualitatively different from the undergraduate sequence? If not, how soon can this separation be effected? When
graduates are placed in undergraduate courses, what is the difference in the performance
standard required?
10. Does the curriculum reflect a commitment to currency in terms of changes in knowledge,
legislation, and human resource needs?
11. How adequate are the assessment procedures used to evaluate students? If inadequate,
how can they be improved?
12. Do grades accurately reflect a student’s academic and clinical performance? If not, in what
way can grading be improved?
13. Do students have sufficient research experience so that they are able to develop a viable
method of problem formulation and solution? If not, are additional research opportunities
planned?
14. When and where, in the program sequence, do students obtain adequate guidance in
professional and scientific responsibility, as well as ethics?
15. What is the mechanism for systematic review and updating of each course in the program?
16. What do students value most in the curriculum? Least? What is the cause of this
difference? How can “least valuable” be improved?
17. Is the program sufficiently flexible to allow students an opportunity to maximize their own
personal and professional growth? How can this flexibility be expanded?
18. What course work is permitted and encouraged outside the immediate program to give
students an opportunity to learn the viewpoints of those in related professions—for
example, psychology, learning disabilities, deaf education?
19. What procedures have been established to evaluate transfer credit? Does the mechanism
adequately evaluate the competencies that the units attest to?

C. Clinical Practicum
1. Is the clinical experience appropriately sequenced with the academic offering? Do
students always understand the theoretical principles of a particular procedure before they
are required to conduct the task? If not, how can this be ensured in the future? How soon
can necessary changes be implemented? What learning experiences are provided that
actually relate theory and practice?
2. Is the clinical experience designed so that it follows substantial course work in general
education and normal development as well as specific courses related to communication
disorders?
3. Are the clinical hours distributed over a reasonable period of time? If not, how can
distribution be appropriately spread? When can this be accomplished?
4. Is the student’s clinical experience graduated and sequential? If not, what changes are
planned and when?
5. Does the program and its associated facilities have a sufficient client pool to provide the
broad clinical experience that the profession requires? If not, what steps are being taken
remedy this situation? What types of experiences are not provided for students? What
types of clients did students see last year? What types are planned for next year?
6. Is the clinical program sequenced in a way that allows all of the students to obtain similar
educational experience? Is there significant variation in the experiences provided
students? Why?
7. Does the program’s off-campus component provide students with an opportunity to
participate in a variety of inter-professional activities? If not, how can this be improved?
8. Are all of the field supervisors committed to educating students, or are some totally
service-oriented?
9. How much contact with the field supervisor is needed to ensure that the instructional staff
is aware of the progress the students are actually making in the placement? Is this amount
of contact provided?
10. How is continuity of supervisory practices across the instructional staff promoted?
11. How objective is assessment of students’ clinical performance? Do assigned grades actually represent their performance? If not, why not?
12. How is feedback provided to students regarding their clinical efforts? How frequently is such feedback provided?
13. Is adequate and appropriate clinical supervision provided for all of the students? Is the staffing formula correct? If not, what improvements are planned?
14. Are any of the instructional staff providing direct clinical service for student observation? If not, why not?
15. How are supervisors (both on and off campus) made aware of requirements for supervision? How is compliance with these requirements ensured?

D. Faculty/Instructional Staff
1. Are there sufficient experienced, trained personnel capable of effective teaching in all necessary areas of specialization of a comprehensive program? If not, what plans exist to remedy any gaps?
2. To what extent are faculty/instructional staff teaching outside of their area of expertise?
3. How is the teaching load balanced with various other professional responsibilities?
4. If new instructional staff members could be hired, what would be the priorities in terms of selection of experience and education?
5. How is research competence promoted and rewarded?
6. Has the instructional staff remained sufficiently stable so that continuity exists in the program?
7. Are the rank and tenure of the faculty/instructional staff different from those of other departments? If so, what steps can be taken to adjust for the discrepancy?
8. What is the teaching load carried by other departments that have clinical programs? Does this vary significantly from that within the audiology and/or speech-language pathology program? If so, why?
9. What mechanism exists within the program to ensure that all faculty/instructional staff remain educationally current?
10. Do the students have sufficient opportunity for contact with all the faculty/instructional staff? If not, how can this be remedied?
11. Is the faculty/instructional staff sufficiently diverse so that students can be exposed to a variety of thought? If not, can steps be taken to provide diversity?

E. Facilities
1. Is the space available for classrooms, offices, observation areas, and research labs adequate? If not, is there a plan, including time lines, to obtain additional space?
2. Are there architectural barriers that limit participation by persons with physical disabilities? If so, when will steps be taken to remove them?
3. Is equipment adequate for all aspects of the program? If not, when will necessary equipment be purchased?
4. What are the equipment priorities? How are these priorities developed?
5. Are the standards for calibration and maintenance of equipment adequate? If not, what steps are planned to improve them?
6. Are the library holdings adequate both within the professional disciplines of the program and related disciplines? Is the budget sufficient to ensure that holdings are current?
7. Is there adequate support staff for the program—for example, secretaries, maintenance, technology assistance? If not, is additional staff budgeted?
F. Admission and Advisement
1. What objective measures are used to select students? What is the relative value of each?
2. What evidence exists to show that the criteria used for selecting students are related to success within the program?
3. Are students admitted to the program who do not meet the selection criteria? What happens to these students? Are special students provided with any additional experiences to facilitate their successful completion of the program? Does additional support really help? Given experience with these students, under what circumstances should they continue to be admitted?
4. Once a student is admitted to the program, how is his or her progress monitored?
5. How adequate is the feedback provided to students regarding their performance? If not considered adequate, what improvements should be made, and how soon can they be put into effect?
6. Is there a systematic advising program? If not, why not?
7. Are students' academic and clinical records up to date? If not, why not?
8. How is the acquisition of knowledge and skills tracked within the program?

G. Questions for Employers
1. Can a graduate of the program complete speech, language, or hearing screening procedures appropriately? Are there procedures that the graduate cannot perform? If so, please specify.
2. Does the program graduate demonstrate adequate knowledge of diagnostic techniques and instrumentation? Which techniques and/or instruments does the graduate handle with proficiency? With which techniques and/or instruments should the graduate be more proficient?
3. Is the graduate able to establish an appropriate caseload? What, if any, problems does the graduate have in establishing a caseload? How would you suggest the training program be modified to correct for any problems that occur in establishing a caseload?
4. Does the graduate apply current research findings to therapy regimens?
5. Does the graduate work better in a one-to-one treatment situation or in a group situation? What accounts for this difference? Is it a problem? What do you believe the training program could do to ensure that the graduate works equally well in a one-to-one and in a group situation?
6. Does the graduate establish appropriate long- and short-range goals for each client in the caseload? What problems, if any, does the graduate have in establishing these? What do you believe the education program could do to improve the graduate’s ability to establish clinical objectives?
7. Are there certain types of handicapping conditions the graduate handles extremely well? Poorly? What do you believe accounts for the difference? Is it a problem? What do you believe the educational program could do to equalize the graduate’s ability to handle all types of problems?
8. Are the reports that the graduate writes complete? What are their strengths, weaknesses? What do you believe the educational program could do to improve report writing?
9. Does the graduate respond well to supervision? If not, what appears to be the primary source of difficulty? How could this be resolved?
10. Does the graduate maintain positive relationships with clients and instructional staff? If not, what appears to be the primary source of difficulty? How could this be resolved?
H. Questions for Students

1. In general, are the objectives of the program and of the courses in the curricular sequence clear? If not, what do you believe could be done to improve the situation?
2. Have you found that, in general, there has been considerable agreement between the announced objectives of the courses and what was actually taught? If there have been major discrepancies, what, in your opinion, has been the cause?
3. In general, have the reading assignments been relevant to class objectives? If not, what do you believe caused the discrepancy?
4. Are the lectures given by the program’s faculty/instructional staff well organized and designed to facilitate the understanding of the subject? If not, how do you believe they could be improved?
5. In general, does the program’s faculty/instructional staff challenge you? If not, what steps would you suggest modifying this situation?
6. Has your interest in the professions been increased or decreased as a result of your interaction with the program’s faculty/instructional staff? If decreased, why?
7. Does the program’s faculty/instructional staff attempt to relate course content to the total discipline? If not, how could this be improved?
8. Does the program’s faculty/instructional staff provide sufficient opportunity for you to apply concepts and to demonstrate understanding of the subject? If not, how could this be improved?
9. In general, has the program’s faculty/instructional staff genuinely been concerned about your progress and attempted to be actively helpful? If not, how do you believe this could be improved?
10. Is the program’s faculty/instructional staff readily available to you for consultation? If not, how could the program be modified to provide more student/faculty dialogue?

I. Questions for Alumni

1. If you were starting school again, would you apply for admission to the program? If not, why not?
2. Considering all aspects, were you completely satisfied with the program? If not, which aspects would you improve and how?
3. Did you have sufficient opportunity to present problems, complaints, or suggestions to the instructional staff? If not, what hampered you in these efforts?
4. Do you believe that your clinical practicum supervisors spent sufficient time observing and guiding your clinical practicum? If not, what do you believe accounted for their lack of availability?
5. Do you believe the counseling that the program provided was adequate? If not, what would you suggest be done to improve this situation?
6. Which academic/clinical areas do you feel most/least prepared in? What do you believe accounts for the difference? What steps would you recommend be taken to reduce this discrepancy?
7. Generally, do you believe that most of what you learned was relevant to clinical work? If not, why not? What could be done to improve the curriculum so that it is more relevant?
8. Which courses in the program have proven to be the most/least beneficial? Please list and explain why.
9. Given the opportunity, what would you have deleted from your academic program? Why?
10. Given the opportunity, what would you have added to your academic program? Why?